Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

for Niagara Falls Storage Site



Bechtel

Oak Ridge Corporate Center 151 Lafayette Drive P.O. Box 350 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-0350

. Facsimile: (615) 220-2100

Job No. 14501, FUSRAP Project DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-910R21949

Code: 2520/WBS: 191

JAN 24 1994

University of Tennessee Energy, Environment & Resource Center 327 S. Stadium Hall Knoxville, Tennessee 37996-0710

Attention: Dave Feldman

Subject: FUSRAP - Transmittal of FUSRAP Community Relations

Weekly News Clippings

Dear Dave:

Enclosed are copies of our weekly news clippings for the week ending Friday, January 21, 1994. Please do not hesitate to call if you have questions or need additional information. My telephone number is 576-5034.

Sincerely,

Maxiey B. Myers for Sandra W. Plant

Community Relations - Manager

SWP:cw:CR 0022

Enclosures: FUSRAP Weekly

News Clippings

Residents oppose capping dump

CONCERNED: Residents living near the radioactive waste site are concerned a cap won't contain the wastes.

By Mary Wozniek Niagara Gazette

The majority of residents attending an open house at the site of a radioactive waste dump in Lewiston gave the Department of Energy a clear message Thursday: They don't want a final cap put on the dump.

They want the waste out of there and stored somewhere else.

Tim Henderson, president of Residents Organized for Lewiston-Porter's Environment, put it most succinctly after the informal gathering ended: "LOQW must go."

The acronym stands for Lake Ontario Ordinance Works, the name of a 7,500-acre site that housed a TNT plant at the start of World War II. Eventually, all the land was sold off until only 191 acres remained: The 10-acre dump is on that plot of land, now called the Niagara Falls Storage Site, at 1397 Pletcher Road.

The dump contains 255,000 cubic yards of radioactive waste, most of it from the Manhattan Project, which created the first atomic bomb.

Now the energy department wants to put a final cap on the site, which it says will adequately contain

ENVIRONMENT

the radioactive material for up to 1,000 years.

But the Environmental Protection Agency objects, and the state Department of Environmental Conservation and the state Health Department agree with the EPA. The EPA says it's OK to keep the lower-level radioactive wastes there, but the containment standards at the site are too low to leave the higher-level radioactive uranium ore residue there, code named K-65 residues.

The K-65 residues make up only about 5 percent of the radioactive material on the site, noted Ronald E. Kirk, site manager, who came to the site from his base in Oak Ridge, Tenn., to answer residents' questions. About 60 people showed up to ask them.

Putting a permanent cap on the alte would not preclude getting access to the K-65 residues later, if necessary, he said.

The clay layer beneath the dump, up to 20 feet thick, has some sand strips running through it at points, but they do not meet to form a corridor for waste to leak through, Kirk said. Readings taken from monitoring wells around the dump have shown that no waste is migrating, he said.

Peter Ohanessian, chairman of ROLE, wasn't satisfied with what he heard at the open house. "I don't believe a word that they say," he said: "The DOE doesn't have a great track record."

FUSRAP Niagara Falls Storage Site, Niagara Falls, New York, Niagara Gazette, Daily-27,000, Sunday-30,000, Date 01/14/94 Page

'Forget the cap; get rid of the waste'



Epylopmentalist Joan Gipp listens Thursday as federal Department of Energy officials of Energy o

FUSRAP Niagara Falls Storage Site, Niagara Falls, New York, Niagara Gazette, Daily-27,000, Sunday-30,000, Date01/14/94 Page